

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,549	06/25/2003	Jack G. Winterowd	WEYE119439/24805 5317	
28624	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
	AEUSER COMPANY	KILIMAN, LESZEK B		
INTELLECT	TUAL PROPERTY DEPT.	, CH 1J27		· · · · · ·
P.O. BOX 9777			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FEDERAL V	WAY, WA 98063	1773		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		8				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,549	JACK G. WINTEROWD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	leszek b kiliman	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 335 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	<u>2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te stent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/606,549

Art Unit: 1773

Ė

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winterowd'534 in view of Winterowd'037.

The applied Winterowd'534 reference teaches that it is well known in the art to make and use wood-based products to which an overlay material is attached wherein wood-based products are pretreated with magnesium hydroxide in a carrier. See abstract, column 2, lines 40-50, column 3, lines 25-33, column 4, lines 59-67, column 5, lines 1-15, column 5, lines 33-47, example 2, claims. The Winterowd'534 in the above indicated columns and lines teaches limitations of claims 7-10, 12, 14-23.

The applied Winterowd'534 does not teach that a copper glycine complex should be used in the composition as a stain preventing compound. However, The Winterowd'037 discloses that

Application/Control Number: 10/606,549 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

it is known in the art to use copper/amine complexes in the stain preventing compositions and teaches the claimed amounts of such complexes used in composition. See abstract, column 5, lines 40-67, column 6, lines 1-18, summary of the invention, column 7, lines 48-55 for amounts used in composition, column 8, lines 1-11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the claimed copper complex in the Winterowd'534 stain treating composition since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a mater of obvious design choice, In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

The amendments and remarks filed by applicants have been fully considered. The claims, however, remain unpatentable in view of the above grounds of rejections. The arguments have not been persuasive because the examiner believes that the amendments are not sufficient to overcome the rejections; "wherein the composition is non-drying" is a vague statement.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1773

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

000